

<sup>11</sup> Ericsson, L. E., "Effect of Boundary-Layer Transition on Vehicle Dynamics," *Journal of Spacecraft and Rockets*, Vol. 6, No. 12, Dec. 1969, pp. 1404-1409.

<sup>12</sup> Ericsson, L. E., "Transition Effects on Slender Vehicle Stability and Trim Characteristics," *Journal of Spacecraft and Rockets*, Vol. 11, No. 1, Jan. 1974, pp. 3-11.

<sup>13</sup> Peterson, C. W. and Bogdonoff, S. M., "An Experimental Study of Laminar Hypersonic Blunt Cone Wakes," AIAA Paper 69-714, San Francisco, Calif., 1969.

<sup>14</sup> Ward, L. K. and Uselton, B. L., "Dynamic Stability Results for Sharp and Blunted 10° Cones at Hypersonic Speeds," *Transactions of the 3rd Technical Workshop on Dynamic Stability Problems*, Vol. III, Paper 2, NASA Ames Research Center, Moffett Field, Calif., Nov. 4-7, 1968.

<sup>15</sup> Harris, J. E., "Force-Coefficient and Moment-Coefficient Correlations and Air-Helium Simulation for Spherically Blunted Cones," TN D-2184, Nov. 1965, NASA.

<sup>16</sup> Technical Staff, "Experimental Investigation of the Aerodynamic Characteristics of 9 Degree Half-Angle Cones and Varying Degrees of Bluntness at Mach Number 9," Publication U-1638, April 1962, Aeronautics, Newport Beach, Calif.

<sup>17</sup> Martellucci, A., Neff, R. S., and Rittenhouse, C., "Mass Addition Effects on Vehicle Forces and Moments—Comparison Between Theory and Experiment," Document 69 SD934, SAMSO TR 69-384, Sept. 1969, Space and Missiles System Organization, U.S. Air Force.

<sup>18</sup> Burt, G. E., "Effects of Nose Bluntness and Base Shape on the Dynamic Stability Characteristics of Ablating and Non-Ablating 8-Degree Cones at Mach 10," AEDC-TR-66-31, Feb. 1966, Arnold Engineering Development Center, Tullahoma, Tenn.

<sup>19</sup> Hobbs, R. B., "Hypersonic Dynamic Stability," FDL-TDR-64-149, Pt. 2, Jan. 1967, Flight Dynamics Lab., Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, Ohio.

<sup>20</sup> Ward, L. K. and Uselton, B. L., "Dynamic Stability Tests of Three Re-entry Configurations at Mach 8," AEDC-TDR-62-11, Jan. 1962, Arnold Engineering Development Center, Tullahoma, Tenn.

<sup>21</sup> Friberg, E. G. and Walchner, O., "Pressure Correlation for Blunted Slender Cones," *AIAA Journal*, Vol. 7, No. 8, Aug. 1969, pp. 1618, 1619.

<sup>22</sup> Nelson, R. L., "Measurement of Aerodynamic Characteristics of Re-Entry Configurations in Free Flight at Hypersonic and Near-Orbital Speeds," AGARD Rept. 380, July 1961.

<sup>23</sup> Ericsson, L. E., "Unsteady Embedded Newtonian Flow," *Astrodynamica Acta*, Vol. 18, No. 5, Nov. 1973, pp. 309-330.

## Calculation of Laminar Boundary Layers on Continuous Surfaces by Meksyn's Method

A. V. MURTHY\* AND K. S. HEBBAR†

National Aeronautical Laboratory, Bangalore, India

IN the case of laminar boundary layers on continuous surfaces, Eickhoff<sup>1,2</sup> has shown that the linearization of the boundary-layer equations leads to analytical results for the heat-transfer rates that agree well with the numerical results of Rhodes and Kammer<sup>3</sup> over a wide range of Prandtl numbers ( $0.1 \leq \sigma \leq 1000$ ). In general, this method can also be applied for the analysis of the shock tube boundary layers. In fact, this was done some years ago by the authors<sup>4,5</sup> and the results obtained were compared with the numerical results of Mirels.<sup>6</sup> The purpose of this

Received November 26, 1973. The authors wish to acknowledge R. Narasimha for his suggestions and discussions during the course of the work reported herein.

Index category: Boundary Layers and Convective Heat Transfer—Laminar.

\* Scientist, Aerodynamics Division.

† Scientist, Aerodynamics Division; presently Research Assistant, Department of Aerospace Engineering, University of Maryland, College Park, Md.

Note is to present some important results obtained by the authors. It may be noted that these results agree with those of Eickhoff when the shock strength  $\lambda \gg 1$ ; they can also be used for values of  $\lambda$  relevant to shock tube boundary layers ( $1 \leq \lambda \leq 6$  for  $\gamma = 1.4$ ).

Following Mirels and the usual notation, the governing equations and the boundary conditions for solving the laminar boundary layer which develops on an uninsulated wall behind a shock wave advancing into a stationary fluid are

$$f''' + ff'' = 0 \quad (1)$$

$$s'' + \sigma fs' = 0 \quad (2)$$

$$f(0) = 0, \quad f'(0) = \lambda, \quad f'(\infty) = 1 \quad (3)$$

$$s(0) = 1, \quad s(\infty) = 0 \quad (4)$$

Using Meksyn's technique,<sup>7</sup> Eqs. (1-4) can be solved<sup>4</sup> for the two unknowns  $f''(0)$  and  $s'(0)$ —the two important wall derivatives the former relating to wall shear and the latter to wall heat transfer. By integrating Eqs. (1) and (2) from zero to infinity and then substituting the boundary conditions (3) and (4), we get

$$1 - \lambda = \alpha \int_0^\infty e^{-F} d\eta \quad (5)$$

and

$$-1 = k \int_0^\infty e^{-\sigma F} d\eta \quad (6)$$

where

$$\alpha = f''(0), \quad k = s'(0) \quad \text{and} \quad F = \int_0^\eta f d\eta$$

For  $\eta \rightarrow 0$ , the series expansion for  $F$  is

$$F = \frac{\lambda \eta^2}{2!} + \frac{\alpha \eta^3}{3!} - \frac{\alpha \lambda \eta^5}{5!} + \dots \quad (7)$$

and by inversion,

$$\eta = A_0 F^{1/2} + (A_1/2)F + (A_2/3)F^{3/2} + \dots \quad (8)$$

where  $A_0 = (2/\lambda)^{1/2}$ ,  $A_1 = -(2\alpha/3\lambda^2)$ ,  $A_2 = (5/6)(\alpha/\lambda^2)^2(\lambda/2)^{1/2}$ , etc. After integrating Eqs. (5) and (6) using Eq. (8), we get

$$1 - \lambda = \alpha(B_0 + B_1\alpha + B_2\alpha^2 + \dots) \quad (9)$$

and

$$-1 = k\left(\frac{B_0}{\sigma^{1/2}} + \frac{B_1}{\sigma}\alpha + \frac{B_2}{\sigma^{3/2}}\alpha^2 + \dots\right) \quad (10)$$

where  $B_0 = (\pi/2\lambda)^{1/2}$ ,  $B_1 = -(1/3\lambda^2)$ ,  $B_2 = (5/24)(1/\lambda^4)(\pi\lambda/2)^{1/2}$ , etc.,  $\alpha$  and  $k$  may be evaluated from Eqs. (9) and (10), respectively. Hsu<sup>8</sup> also has obtained the value of  $\alpha$  using Meksyn's technique and he gives a more general form of Eq. (9) by retaining higher order terms in the series expansion (7). However, even the first three terms on the RHS of Eq. (9) provide a good approximation and  $\alpha$  can be calculated by solving the cubic equation

$$\alpha^3 + p\alpha^2 + q\alpha + r = 0 \quad (11)$$

where

$$p = -\frac{8}{5}\left(\frac{2}{\pi}\right)^{1/2}\lambda^{3/2}, \quad q = \frac{24}{5}\lambda^3, \quad r = \frac{24}{5}\left(\frac{2}{\pi}\right)^{1/2}\lambda^{7/2}(\lambda - 1)$$

It may be easily verified that there is only one real root of  $\alpha$  which is of interest to the present problem and the other two are complex. If only the first two terms in the series (8) are retained, the resulting equation for  $\alpha$  is a quadratic and the solution is obtained in a closed form as

$$\alpha = \left(\frac{9\pi}{8}\right)^{1/2}\lambda^{3/2}\left[1 - \left\{\frac{8+3\pi}{3\pi} - \frac{8}{3\pi\lambda}\right\}^{1/2}\right] \quad (12)$$

Once  $\alpha$  is known,  $k$  can be easily determined from Eq. (10). Taking only the first three terms on the RHS of Eq. (10), we get

$$k = \frac{-\sigma^{1/2}}{\left(\frac{\pi}{2\lambda}\right)^{1/2} - \frac{\alpha}{3\lambda^2\sigma^{1/2}} + \left(\frac{5}{24}\right)\left(\frac{\alpha}{\lambda^2}\right)^2\left(\frac{1}{\sigma}\right)\left(\frac{\pi\lambda}{2}\right)^{1/2}} \quad (13)$$

The values of  $f''(o) = \alpha$  and  $s'(o) = k$  as calculated from Eqs. (11–13) for different values of shock strength  $\lambda$  are compared in Table 1 with the numerical results of Mirels.

**Table 1 Values of  $f''(o)$  and  $s'(o)$  for different  $\lambda$**

$\lambda$	$f''(o)$			$s'(o)$ for $\sigma = 0.72$	
	Eq. (12)	Eq. (11)	Mirels <sup>6</sup>	Eq. (13)	Mirels <sup>6</sup>
2	-1.0288	-1.0065	-1.0191	-0.8339	-0.8512
4	-4.2007	-4.0330	-4.0623	-1.0995	-1.1156
6	-8.4729	-8.0812	-8.1009	-1.3165	-1.3262

For vanishing velocity at the outer edge of the boundary layer or for large shock strengths (i.e.,  $\lambda \gg 1$ ), the equations for  $f''(o)$  and  $s'(o)$  given by Eickhoff are the same as Eqs. (11) and (13). For large values of  $\sigma$ , it can be shown that

$$s'(o) \approx -(2\lambda\sigma/\pi)^{1/2}$$

The Nusselt number (for  $\sigma \rightarrow \infty$ ) is given by

$$Nu = -\frac{1}{(2\lambda)^{1/2}} (Re)^{1/2} s'(o) = \frac{1}{(\pi^{1/2})} (\sigma Re)^{1/2} \quad (14)$$

The preceding method can also be applied to the case when the wall is insulated. Following Mirels, the recovery factor  $r(o)$  is expressed in quadrature form as

$$r(o) = \frac{2\sigma\alpha^2}{(\lambda-1)^2} \int_{\eta}^{\infty} e^{-\sigma F} d\xi \int_0^{\xi} e^{-(2-\sigma)F} d\theta \quad (15)$$

For  $\sigma < 2$ , the inner integral in Eq. (15) can be expressed as a sum of incomplete gamma functions when the series expansion (8) is substituted for the independent variable. If we retain only the first term in the series expansion (8) and, to be consistent with this approximation, use only the first term on the RHS of Eq. (9) to evaluate  $\alpha$ , then Eq. (15) integrates to

$$r(o) = \frac{4}{\pi} \left( \frac{\sigma}{2-\sigma} \right)^{1/2} \sin^{-1} \left( \frac{2-\sigma}{2} \right)^{1/2} \quad (16)$$

Thus, to the first order, the recovery factor does not depend on the shock strength at all; instead it depends only on the Prandtl number. For  $\sigma = 0.72$ ,  $r(o) = 0.8855$ . It may be noted here that Eq. (16) is the same as that given by Emmons<sup>9</sup> for the

recovery factor of an accelerated plate starting from rest. The result for  $r(o)$  can be improved by higher approximations and this has been done in Refs. 4 and 5 by retaining the first two terms in the series expansion for  $F$ . However,  $r(o)$  was found to have a weak dependence on the shock strength. Numerical results of Mirels also show a similar weak dependence.

As mentioned by Eickhoff, these analytical solutions show general tendencies. Also, the simplicity of the solution obtained by one-term approximation suggests the following empirical relations for  $\alpha$  and  $k$ :

$$\alpha = -(0.211 + 0.575\lambda^{1/2})(\lambda - 1) \quad (17)$$

and

$$k = -(0.202 + 0.459\lambda^{1/2}) \quad \text{for } \sigma = 0.72 \quad (18)$$

The results presented here have the advantage of being applicable over a wide range of values of  $\lambda$  and  $\sigma$ .

After determining the wall derivatives  $f''(o)$  and  $s'(o)$  which are of major interest, it is possible to calculate the velocity and temperature profiles.<sup>4</sup> The calculations indicate that the free-stream conditions are approached earlier than in the exact solutions.

## References

- <sup>1</sup> Eickhoff, H. E., Comment on "Laminar Thermal Boundary Layers on Continuous Surfaces," *AIAA Journal*, Vol. 11, No. 6, June 1973, p. 895.
- <sup>2</sup> Eickhoff, H. E., "Näherungslösungen für Laminare Grenzschicht Probleme bei kontinuierlich bewegter Wand," *Deutsche Luft und Raumfahrt Forschungsbericht* 72-26, Teil 1, 1972, p. 102.
- <sup>3</sup> Rhodes, C. A. and Kaminer, H., Jr., "Laminar Thermal Boundary Layers on Continuous Surfaces," *AIAA Journal*, Vol. 10, No. 3, March 1972, pp. 331-333.
- <sup>4</sup> Murthy, A. V., "The Laminar Boundary Layer in a Shock Tube," M.E. Project Rept., 1965, Dept. of Aeronautical Engineering, Indian Institute of Science, Bangalore, India.
- <sup>5</sup> Murthy, A. V., Hebbar, K. S., and Narasimha, R., "A Note on the Shock Tube Boundary Layers," paper presented at the C.A.A.R.C. Specialists' Meeting on Boundary Layers, Dec. 1967, Bangalore, India.
- <sup>6</sup> Mirels, H., "Laminar Boundary Layer behind Shock Advancing into Stationary Fluid," TN-3401, 1955, NACA.
- <sup>7</sup> Meksyn, D., *New Methods in Laminar Boundary Layer Theory*, Pergamon Press, Oxford, England, 1961.
- <sup>8</sup> Hsu, C. C., "Boundary-Layer Growth behind a Plane Wave," *AIAA Journal*, Vol. 7, No. 9, Sept. 1969, pp. 1810-1811.
- <sup>9</sup> Emmons, H. W., "Note on Aerodynamic Heating," *Quarterly of Applied Mathematics*, Vol. 8, 1951, p. 402.

# Technical Comments

## Comment on "Criteria for Selecting Curves for Fitting to Data"

ROBERT G. EASTERLING\*

Sandia Laboratories, Albuquerque, N. Mex.

### I. Introduction

IN an Aug. 1970 paper,<sup>1</sup> T. J. Dylewski proposed an interesting criterion for discriminating between "oversmoothing" and "undersmoothing" in the least squares fitting of equations to data. This criterion is given by

$$B = S'/S''$$

Received June 7, 1973; revision received August 9, 1973. This work was supported by the U.S. Atomic Energy Commission. The author would like to acknowledge E. L. Clark who brought the paper by Dylewski to his attention.

Index categories: Computer Technology and Computer Simulation Techniques; Research Facilities and Instrumentation.

\* Member of the Technical Staff, Reliability Department.

where  $S'$  is the sum of squared deviations from fitting a given curve to all the data and  $S''$  is the pooled sum of squared deviations from fitting a curve of the same type to each of two halves of the data (for simplicity, let the sample size,  $N$ , be an even integer). Among the advantages the author cites for this criterion is that it does not permit tests of significance. Before showing that it actually does, and indeed that an evaluation of significance is a necessity, it may be well to comment on statistical tests.

### II. Statistical Tests

The author uses the term, test of significance, to describe the process of choosing a Type I Error risk (level of significance), calculating a test statistic and then either accepting or rejecting the hypothesis being considered. There has been some effort, though not entirely successful, in the statistical literature to refer to this procedure as hypothesis testing, or more explicitly, accept/reject decision-making. While hypothesis testing seems to have direct applicability to acceptance sampling, where indeed one is required to make a series of accept/reject decisions, and perhaps to certain confirmatory experiments, it is of questionable value in